## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TALISMAN CAPITAL TALON FUND, LTD.,  Plaintiff,  vs.  RUDOLF W. GUNNERMAN and SULPHCO, INC.,  Defendants.	) 3:05-CV-354-BES (RAM) ) MINUTES OF THE COURT ) ) May 24, 2006 ) ) )
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE ROBE  DEPUTY CLERK: GINA MUGNAIN	
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S): NONE APPEARING  COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S): NONE APPEARING	
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:	
Defendants have filed an Emergency Motion to Compel and Proposed Order (Doc. #42). Attached to the Motion are a series of letters between counsel one of which (Exhibit 15) refers to the requirement of personal consultation before seeking judicial relief.	
LR 26-7(b) provides that "Discovery motions will not be considered unless a statement of moving counsel is attached thereto certifying that, after personal consultation and sincere effort to do so, counsel have been unable to resolve the matter without court action."	
Defendants' Motion does not contain such a certificate and a series of letters does not constitute a "personal consultation and sincere effort" to resolve the matter.	
The court will not consider Defendants' Emergency Motion to Compel (Doc. #42) until there is compliance with the above Rule.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	I ANCES WILSON CLERK

By: /s/
Deputy Clerk